



A test suite for packagers



<https://github.com/opencollab/llvm-toolchain-integration-test-suite/>

2021-09-15



Who are we?



Serge

- LLVM developer
- Member of LLVM packaging team at RedHat



Sylvestre

- Main maintainer of the llvm toolchain for +10 years on Debian & Ubuntu
- Maintainer of apt.llvm.org
- Paid job: director of engineering at Mozilla



How it started

- Debian and Ubuntu have an hugly 2000 lines of code single file
 - Verifies integration, common issues, non regression, etc
- Lot of valuable checks but too *deb specific*
- Fedora also has its own set of checks for each LLVM sub-package
- Serge and Sylvestre were chatting during one of the French covid lockdown and a *what if* moment happened



Goals of this project

- Make sure that the various tools provided by the llvm toolchain work well together
 - Trivial example: `clang -fuse-ld=lld -flto`
- Work on the packages, without source or build directories available
- Consistency over package practices and user behavior
- Make sure we don't regress
- Share the effort across packagers
- Provide a behavior close to the *make distcheck* rule from automake



How it works

> **cmake ..**

-- Checking for lit

-- Checking for clang++

-- Checking for clang

-- Checking for clang-tidy

[...]

-- Checking for scan-build-py

-- Disabling tests related to scan-build-py

-- Configuring done

-- Generating done

> **make check**

-- Testing: 40 tests, 8 workers --

PASS: LLVM regression suite :: basic_openmp.c (1 of 40)

PASS: LLVM regression suite :: atomic_fetch.c (2 of 40)

[...]

PASS: LLVM regression suite :: plugins.cpp (39 of 40)

PASS: LLVM regression suite :: llvm_config_libs.cpp (40 of 40)



How it works - 2

20 lines (18 sloc) | 379 Bytes

```
1 // Test LTO support within lld
2 // REQUIRES: clang, lld
3 // RUN: %clang -c -flto %s -DLIB -o %t-obj.o
4 // RUN: %clang -c -flto %s -ULIB -o %t-main.o
5 // RUN: %clang -fuse-ld=lld -flto %t-obj.o %t-main.o -o %t
6 // RUN: %t | grep "hello lita"
7
8 #ifdef LIB
9 #include <stdio.h>
10 void greet() {
11     puts("hello lita");
12 }
13 #else
14 extern void greet();
15
16 int main() {
17     greet();
18     return 0;
19 }
20 #endif
```

How it works - 3

The screenshot displays a GitHub pull request for the repository `opencollab/lvm-toolchain-integration-test-suite`. The pull request title is "Verify that the optim result file is correctly generated #61". It shows a merged commit by `serge-sans-paille` into the `main` branch from `syvestre:save-optimization-record`, merged 19 days ago. The pull request includes 4 commits, 10 checks, and 1 file change. A workflow run for `build (ubuntu-18.04, 10)` is shown as successful, having run 19 days ago in 45s. The workflow run details are as follows:

```
24 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: pass_builder.cpp (27 of 40)
25 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: save_optimization_record.c (27 of 40)
26 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: plingine.cpp (26 of 40)
27 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: scanbuild_py.cpp (29 of 40)
28 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: scanbuild_py_makefile.txt (30 of 40)
29 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: test_asan_heap.c (31 of 40)
30 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: test_asan_ic.c (32 of 40)
31 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: test_clangf.txt (33 of 40)
32 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: test_leakcan.c (34 of 40)
33 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: test_tsan.c (35 of 40)
34 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: thinit.c (36 of 40)
35 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: warning_conversion.c (37 of 40)
36 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: whole_toolchain.c (38 of 40)
37 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: whole_toolchain.cpp (39 of 40)
38 PASS: LLVM regression suite :: scanbuild_missing_delete.cpp (40 of 40)
39
40 Testing Time: 12.85s
41 Expected Passes : 40
42 Build target check
43
44 Post Run actions/checkout@v2
45
46 Complete job
```



What do we support/test?

- llvm tools
- clang
- some clang tools
- polly
- lldb
- lld
- libomp
- libunwind
- compiler-rt
- LLVM plugins



What do we not test?

- distribution-specific behavior
- language-specific details
- behavior specific to a given LLVM version



Successes

- Show some recent regressions (LLVMgold)
- Detected an installation issue with scan-build-py, that we fixed upstream



Current status

- Used in Debian & Ubuntu
- Used by apt.lvm.org
- Used by Fedora and RHEL



Next steps

- Make it official and integrated (ninja check-install ?)
- Get more distros
- Test LLVM runtimes builds
- Add support for MLIR, libc, etc
- Debian/Ubuntu: make progress on decreasing the g++ dependencies